UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------------------|--|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/584,047 | 08/11/2008 | Herve Toulhoat | PET-2254 | 6857 |
| | 7590 04/06/201 Zelano & Branigan | EXAMINER | | |
| 2200 Clarendon Boulevard | | | WONG, EDNA | |
| Suite 1400 Arlington, VA 22201 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1759 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 04/06/2011 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| Office Action Comment | 10/584,047 | TOULHOAT ET AL. | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| | EDNA WONG | 1759 | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply | ppears on the cover sheet with the | correspondence address | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING [2] - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be strictly and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON | ON. imely filed m the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | |
| Status | | | | |
| 1)☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☑ Thi 3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under | is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, p | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | |
| 4) ✓ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ✓ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> are subject to restriction and/or | awn from consideration. | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct should be shown in the correct should be shown in the shown in t | cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ction is required if the drawing(s) is c | ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other: | Date | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/584,047 Page 2

Art Unit: 1759

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

A. Step a) For Concentration in the Liquid Phase (please select one):

- (i) In that step a) for concentration in the liquid phase consists of liquefying CO₂, the liquid CO₂ then being obtained under pressure, for example in the supercritical state (claim 2).
- (ii) In that step a) for concentration in the liquid phase consists of absorbing CO₂ in a polar aprotic liquid, not miscible with water or miscible with water in various proportions (claim 3).
- (iii) In that step a) for concentration in the liquid phase consists of absorbing CO₂ in an aprotic ionic liquid not miscible with water or miscible with water in various proportions (claims 4 and 5).
- (iv) In that step a) for concentration in the liquid phase consists of absorbing CO₂, in an aqueous phase containing an alcohol and/or an amine (claims 6 and 11).
- (v) In that step a) for concentration in the liquid phase consists of absorbing CO₂ in the hydrated form, said concentration being activated by an enzymatic pathway (claims 7-10).

Application/Control Number: 10/584,047 Page 3

Art Unit: 1759

B. The Electro-Reduction Step b) (*please select one*):

(i) In which the electro-reduction step b) is carried out in liquid CO₂ under pressure (claim 15).

(ii) In which electro-reduction step b) is carried out in the subterranean CO₂ store (claims 18-19 and 21).

C. The Mineralization Step (*please select one*):

- (i) In which the final mineralization step consists of attack of a carbonated mineral by an aqueous solution of oxalic acid or formic acid from the electro-reduction step (claim 20).
- (ii) In which the mineralization step takes place by bringing the aqueous solution of oxalic acid or formic acid from the electro-reduction step into contact with a calciferous or magnesia-containing sedimentary rock (claim 23).
- (iii) In which the final mineralization step is carried out by injection into the substratum (claim 24).

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowed generic claim. Currently, the following claim(s) are generic: claims *1*, *12-14*, *16-17* and *22*.

REQUIREMENT FOR UNITY OF INVENTION

As provided in 37 CFR 1.475(a), a national stage application shall relate to one invention only or to a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept ("requirement of unity of invention"). Where a group of inventions is claimed in a national stage application, the requirement of unity of invention shall be fulfilled only when there is a technical relationship among those inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features. The expression "special technical features" shall mean those technical features that define a contribution which each of the claimed inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art.

The determination whether a group of inventions is so linked as to form a single general inventive concept shall be made without regard to whether the inventions are claimed in separate claims or as alternatives within a single claim. See 37 CFR 1.475(e).

WHEN CLAIMS ARE DIRECTED TO MULTIPLE CATEGORIES OF INVENTIONS

As provided in 37 CFR 1.475(b), a national stage application containing claims to different categories of invention will be considered to have unity of invention if the claims are drawn only to one of the following combinations of categories:

- (1) A product and a process specially adapted for the manufacture of said product; or
 - (2) A product and process of use of said product; or
- (3) A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and a use of the said product; or
- (4) A process and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process; or
- (5) A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process.

Otherwise, unity of invention might not be present. See 37 CFR 1.475(c).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/584,047

Page 6

Art Unit: 1759

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention or species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions have unity of invention (37 CFR 1.475(a)), applicant must provide reasons in support thereof.

Applicant may submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case.

Where such evidence or admission is provided by applicant, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/584,047

Art Unit: 1759

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to EDNA WONG whose telephone number is (571) 272-1349. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 7:30 am to 4:00 pm.

Page 7

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey T. Barton can be reached on (571) 272-1307. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/584,047

Art Unit: 1759

/Edna Wong/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1759 Page 8

EW April 3, 2011